


Research Shows Google's Search Manipulations Tried To Rig Election For Hillary

Mac Slavo

[SHTFplan.com](#)
[Comments \(22\)](#)



New research shows just how much Google's search manipulation affects voters when making decisions. During the 2016 election, it was obvious that Google manipulated searches to favor Hillary Clinton while showing disproportionately negative stories about Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders.

And now we know just how effective all that had been, and it almost left us with Hillary as president.

Hillary Clinton may have lost by a substantially larger margin had Google not manipulated the search results in her favor. Even trending negative searches about the corrupt democrat were suppressed. According to an exclusive by Breitbart, the conclusions are based on 16 months of experiments conducted with a total of 1,800 people from all 50 U.S. states. Participants in the study came from diverse ideological backgrounds, including liberal, conservative, and moderate. In order to control prior biases, participants were asked to judge political candidates that they were unfamiliar with.

The research showed that the manipulation of results pages in search engines can shift the voting preferences of undecideds by anywhere between 20 and 80 percent, depending on the demographic –**meaning Google was attempting to rig the 2016 election for Hillary Clinton.**

The voting preferences of participants who saw no search suggestions shifted toward the favored candidate by 37.1%. The voting preferences of participants in the search suggestion groups who saw only positive search suggestions shifted similarly (35.6%). However, the voting preferences of participants who saw three positive search suggestions and one negative search suggestion barely shifted (1.8%); this occurred because the negative search suggestion attracted more than 40% of the clicks (negativity bias). In other words, *a single negative search suggestion can impact opinions dramatically*. Participants who were shown four negative suggestions (and no positives) shifted *away* from the candidate shown in the search bar (-43.4%). -Epstein, Mohr, & Martinez, *The Search Suggestion Effect*, 2018

Led by Dr. Robert Epstein, the researchers concluded that by using this method of manipulation, search engines can shift a “50/50 split among people who are undecided on an issue to a 90/10 split **without people’s awareness and without leaving a paper trail for authorities to follow.**” Meaning the real collusion during the 2016 election was not between Trump and the Russians, but was between tech giants and their propaganda scheme and the Hillary Clinton campaign.

It is no longer a conspiracy theory that Google is manipulating people. Just look at the heavy amount of manipulation in Google’s “suggested” searches in comparison to those of Bing and Yahoo. The researchers suggested that the search suggestion manipulation made against Google during the 2016 election when the tech giant appeared to be suppressing negative search suggestions for Hillary Clinton while allowing negative suggestions for Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders to remain.



This is not a coincidence, especially when considering Google was the Clinton campaign's largest corporate contributor. Google employees, including at least six high-ranking executives, donated more than \$1.3 million to Clinton's 2016 campaign.

Call it censorship or manipulation, but the truth is...Google attempted to rig the election for Hillary Clinton by manipulating searches and suggestions, and therefore, voters minds.